IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 6163 of 2005**

Between:

P. Rama Chandra Rao, S/o. P. Satyanarayana Rao,
R/o. 1-154 Old Saripaka Village, Burgampahad Mandal,
Khammam District.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The Revenue Divsional Officer, Palavancha,
Khammam District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Burgampahad Mandal,
Khammam District.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or direction declaring the action of the 1st and 2nd respondents herein without following the due process of law including the issuance of the notice to the Petitioner who is in peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property situated at S.No. 2 with an extent of Ac. 0-18 gts of Sarepaka Village, Burgampahad Mandal, Khammam district trying to change the name of the Petitioner in revenue records is illegal, arbitrary and unreasonable and violative of fundamental rights guaranteed under under Art. 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India beside being violative of the R.O.R Act and other existing laws.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MRT.N.MRANGA RAO**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR REVENUE**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following :**

**ORDER:**

On the basis of the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition it is clear that the petitioner is apprehending that the respondents 1 and 2 are going to delete his name from the Revenue records in respect of the land in S.No.2 admeasuring Ac.0.18 guntas situated at Sarepaka village, Burgampahad Mandal, Khammam District, without following due process of law.

No writ of Mandamus can be granted on mere apprehensions.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed. However, this shall not preclude the petitioner to work out the appropriate remedy, in case any adverse order is passed by the respondents. No costs.

_____

24.03.2005

Note : Furnish C.C. by tomorrow.

(B/O)

sh

To

1 The Revenue Divsional Officer, Palavancha,
Khammam District.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Burgampahad Mandal,
Khammam District.

3 Two C.Cs to the G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings,
Hyderabad (OUT).

4 2 CD copies